Supreme Court. U.S. F I L E D

DEC 27 1983

No. 82-1724

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1983

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Petitioner.

VS.

ROBERT UPLINGER and SUSAN BUTLER,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO MOTION OF AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION AND THE NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION TO FILE BRIEF AMICI CURIAE

RICHARD J. ARCARA
District Attorney, Erie County
Counsel of Record
for Petitioner
200 Erie County Hall
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202
(716) 855-2424

In The

Supreme Court of the Anited States

OCTOBER TERM, 1983

No. 82-1724

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Petitioner.

VS.

ROBERT UPLINGER and SUSAN BUTLER,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO MOTION OF AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION AND THE NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION TO FILE BRIEF AMICI CURIAE

Petitioner State of New York hereby respectfully files its objection, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 36.3, to the motion made by the American Civil Liberties Union and the New York Civil Liberties Union for leave to file a brief amici curiae. The motion and brief amici curiae were received by petitioner on December 20, 1983. Consent to file a brief as amici curiae had been requested of petitioner but refused on the ground that amici had no concrete, substantial interest in the decision of the case.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court deny the motion for leave to file the brief amici curiae because the proffered brief of the American Civil Liberties Union and the New York Civil Liberties Union will not assist the Court in that it will not present any relevant arguments or materials which

would not otherwise be submitted. The arguments advanced by *amici* for the striking of New York Penal Law §240.35(3) include vagueness, First Amendment violations, and unconstitutional application to the facts of the case.

A comparison of the instant amici curiae brief with the briefs filed by respondents Uplinger and Butler demonstrates that these issues have been very well briefed, including abundant examples and authorities, by the parties in the case. Amici American Civil, Liberties Union and New York Civil Liberties Union have no stake in the decision of the case when compared to the parties. Accordingly, since the issues, authorities, and arguments discussed by amici are wholly duplicative of those already before this Court in the briefs of respondents, no justification exists to grant the instant motion.

For all the foregoing reasons, petitioner respectfully requests this Court to deny the motion of the American Civil Liberties Union and the New York Civil Liberties Union for leave to file a brief amici curiae.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD J. ARCARA

Counsel for Petitioner
200 Erie County Hall
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202
(716) 855-2424